
#School Performance


performance on math tests is determined by a wide range of factors. These include a student’s social class; educational stage, that is, the grade a student is in; and gender. These factors have varying influences on the students’ performance in math. Being a crucial subject of study at all levels of education, it is essential to leverage them into improving the students’ mathematical skills. In doing so, the school board needs to determine the factors which impact the students’ performance on the math tests in the greatest way in order to prioritize them. The scores in math tests can be modeled as a poisson model with these factors as the parameters as follows:

Each of these factors takes a coefficient which corresponds to its effect on the math score. In order to determine the most important factor, this model was analyzed using Bayesian inference. The prior took into consideration that the number of questions the student gets wrong fits in a Poisson distribution. As such, a prior was taken for the random effects incorporated in the model.

Although the results had a limited effectiveness due to the lack of a relatively informed prior, the results held that the grade was the most important factor affecting the performance of students in math tests. In order to confirm this assessment, a histogram of the student’s scores in math tests were plotted in a histogram which highlighted differences across grades. The results and the histogram suggested that students at more advanced grades had a better grasp of the mathematical concepts under study. As such, the school should develop a more effective method of introducing the learners to mathematical concepts so that they can grasp the ideas even at the lower grades.



The prior and posterior graphs are shown below.




#Smoking

Smoking impairs the academic capability of students. In order to improve the performance of students in a given area or institution, it is essential to track and mitigate this behavior. To meet this goal, a regression model was created using data from a large number of demographically diverse students. After modelling it into a regression model, Bayesian inference was used to determine whether the differences in numbers of students who smoke were greater when comparing rural-urban areas than across states. The regression model was:

In essence, the prevalence of smoking among students was determined by a student’s gender, since boys smoke more than girls; the influence of the student’s environment, which was under evaluation in this study; and other random factors. The student’s environment is an important influence on a student’s attitude towards smoking since it incorporates the societal norms the student is subjected to. The Bayesian inference assumed a neutral prior with a normal distribution. Based on input from knowledgeable scientists in the field, the study also assumed that the first hypothesis was true. In essence, the process of Bayesian inference assumed that while the worst schools could only go as much as 50% above the best ones, the worst states could have rates as high as 5 to 10 times as much as the bet ones. As such, the inference compared the prevalence of smoking in rural schools to urban ones. The R program for the inference process produced a chart which highlighted a higher prevalence in rural areas than in urban ones.

##   
## % Table created by stargazer v.5.2.2 by Marek Hlavac, Harvard University. E-mail: hlavac at fas.harvard.edu  
## % Date and time: Tue, Nov 03, 2020 - 10:21:27 AM  
## \begin{table}[!htbp] \centering   
## \caption{}   
## \label{}   
## \begin{tabular}{@{\extracolsep{5pt}}lccccccc}   
## \\[-1.8ex]\hline   
## \hline \\[-1.8ex]   
## Statistic & \multicolumn{1}{c}{N} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Mean} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{St. Dev.} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Min} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Pctl(25)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Pctl(75)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Max} \\   
## \hline \\[-1.8ex]   
## mean & 73 & 0.294 & 2.202 & $-$4.962 & $-$0.742 & 1.614 & 6.238 \\   
## 0.025quant & 73 & $-$3.428 & 3.029 & $-$12.632 & $-$3.925 & $-$1.402 & $-$0.028 \\   
## 0.975quant & 73 & 4.012 & 2.919 & $-$0.051 & 2.452 & 4.109 & 13.491 \\   
## \hline \\[-1.8ex]   
## \end{tabular}   
## \end{table}   
##   
## % Table created by stargazer v.5.2.2 by Marek Hlavac, Harvard University. E-mail: hlavac at fas.harvard.edu  
## % Date and time: Tue, Nov 03, 2020 - 10:21:27 AM  
## \begin{table}[!htbp] \centering   
## \caption{}   
## \label{}   
## \begin{tabular}{@{\extracolsep{5pt}}lccccccc}   
## \\[-1.8ex]\hline   
## \hline \\[-1.8ex]   
## Statistic & \multicolumn{1}{c}{N} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Mean} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{St. Dev.} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Min} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Pctl(25)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Pctl(75)} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{Max} \\   
## \hline \\[-1.8ex]   
## mean & 1 & 0.406 & & 0.406 & 0.406 & 0.406 & 0.406 \\   
## 0.025quant & 1 & 0.301 & & 0.301 & 0.301 & 0.301 & 0.301 \\   
## 0.975quant & 1 & 0.546 & & 0.546 & 0.546 & 0.546 & 0.546 \\   
## \hline \\[-1.8ex]   
## \end{tabular}   
## \end{table}

This backed the data obtained from the posterior quantiles. These quantiles are shown in the table below:



# Appendices

## School Performance

library(INLAutils)  
library(INLA)  
library(ggplot2)  
library(tidyverse)  
#load data  
  
sUrl = "http://www.bristol.ac.uk/cmm/media/migrated/jsp.zip"   
dir.create(file.path("..", "data"), showWarnings = FALSE)   
(Pmisc::downloadIfOld(sUrl, file.path("..", "data")))  
  
#create dataset from the info  
  
school = read.fwf("../data/JSP.DAT", widths = c(2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 4, 2, 2, 1),   
 col.names = c("school", "class", "gender", "socialClass",   
 "ravensTest", "student", "english", "math", "year"))  
  
#variables  
  
school$socialClass = factor(school$socialClass,   
 labels = c("I", "II", "IIIn", "IIIm", "IV", "V",   
 "longUnemp", "currUnemp", "absent"))  
school$gender = factor(school$gender, labels = c("f", "m"))  
school$classUnique = paste(school$school, school$class)   
school$studentUnique = paste(school$school, school$class,school$student)  
school$grade = factor(school$year)  
  
#generalized linear model  
  
schoolLme = glmmTMB::glmmTMB(math ~ gender + socialClass + grade + (1 | school) +   
 (1 | classUnique) + (1 | studentUnique), data = school)  
summary(schoolLme)  
knitr::kable(summary(schoolLme)$coef,digits = 3,caption = 'Regression Result')  
  
#histogram  
  
hist(1 - school$math, breaks = 100)  
  
#INLA  
  
prec.prior <- list(prec = list(param = c(30, 0.05)))  
  
mathscore = INLA::inla(math ~ gender + socialClass + grade+ f(  
 data = school, model='iid',   
 hyper = prec.prior) +f(  
 school, model='iid',   
 hyper = prec.prior),   
 data = school, control.predictor = list(compute = TRUE))  
  
summary(mathscore)  
knitr::kable(mathscore$summary.fixed, digits = 2, caption = "Posterior Quantiles")  
  
#Plots of the original data  
genderplot <- ggplot(school, aes(x= math, fill=gender, color=gender)) +  
 geom\_histogram(position="identity", binwidth=1, alpha=0.5) + labs(title = "Gender")  
  
soclassplot <- ggplot(school, aes(x= math, fill=socialClass, color=socialClass)) +  
 geom\_histogram(position="identity", binwidth=1, alpha=0.5) + labs(title = "Socialclass")  
  
gradeplot <- ggplot(school, aes(x= math, fill=grade, color=grade)) +  
 geom\_histogram(position="identity", binwidth=1, alpha=0.5) + labs(title = "Grade")  
  
genderplot  
  
soclassplot  
  
gradeplot  
  
#PLot of prior and posterior  
  
sdRes = Pmisc::priorPostSd(mathscore)  
do.call(matplot, sdRes$matplot)  
do.call(legend, sdRes$legend)

## Smoking

#Load data  
smokeFile=load("C:/Users/sa/Documents/MI205/smoke2014.RData")  
smoke[1:3, c("Age", "ever\_cigarettes", "Sex", "Race", "state",   
 "school", "RuralUrban")]  
  
#Prepare data  
forInla = smoke[smoke$Age > 10, c("Age", "ever\_cigarettes",   
 "Sex", "Race", "state", "school",   
 "RuralUrban", "Harm\_belief\_of\_chewing\_to")]  
forInla = na.omit(forInla)  
forInla$y = as.numeric(forInla$ever\_cigarettes)  
forInla$ageFac = factor(as.numeric(as.character(forInla$Age)))   
forInla$chewingHarm = factor(forInla$Harm\_belief\_of\_chewing\_to,levels = 1:4,   
 labels = c("less", "equal", "more", "dunno"))  
  
#INLA  
library("INLA")  
  
toPredict = expand.grid(ageFac = levels(forInla$ageFac),   
 RuralUrban = levels(forInla$RuralUrban),   
 chewingHarm = levels(forInla$chewingHarm),   
 Sex = levels(forInla$Sex))  
forLincombs = do.call(inla.make.lincombs,   
 as.data.frame(model.matrix(~Sex +ageFac \* RuralUrban \* chewingHarm,   
 data = toPredict)))   
fitS2 = inla(y ~ Sex + ageFac \* RuralUrban \* chewingHarm +   
 f(state, model = "iid", hyper = list(prec = list(prior = "pc.prec",   
 param = c(99, 0.05)))),   
 data = forInla, family = "binomial",control.inla = list(strategy = "gaussian"),   
 lincomb = forLincombs)  
  
rbind(fitS2$summary.fixed[, c("mean", "0.025quant", "0.975quant")],   
 Pmisc::priorPostSd(fitS2)$summary[, c("mean", "0.025quant", "0.975quant")])  
theCoef = exp(fitS2$summary.lincomb.derived[, c("0.5quant", "0.025quant", "0.975quant")])  
theCoef = theCoef/(1 + theCoef)  
  
toPredict$Age = as.numeric(as.character(toPredict$ageFac))   
toPredict$shiftX = as.numeric(toPredict$chewingHarm)/10   
toPredict$x = toPredict$Age + toPredict$shiftX  
toPlot = toPredict$Sex == "M" & toPredict$RuralUrban == "Rural"  
  
plot(toPredict[toPlot, "x"], theCoef[toPlot, "0.5quant"], xlab = "age",   
 ylab = "probability", ylim = c(0,1), pch = 15, col = toPredict[toPlot, "chewingHarm"])   
  
segments(toPredict[toPlot, "x"], theCoef[toPlot, "0.025quant"],  
 y1 = theCoef[toPlot, "0.975quant"], col = toPredict[toPlot, "chewingHarm"])  
  
legend("topleft", fill = 1:nlevels(toPredict$chewingHarm),   
 legend = levels(toPredict$chewingHarm), bty = "n", title = "chewing harmful")